

Summary of data recd from consultation

The analysis below is an attempt to put the consultation data in a format that will facilitate discussion. It is not designed to suggest solutions. Any solutions quoted are lifted from the residents submission . The Consultation promised anonymity and this has been maintained by both randomising the order of the data in the appendix (so they are not in any house order) and hiding the House Number and Evidence text columns. Obviously these are available for individual households who might want to confirm what data was added to the consultation, but are not going to be made public.

Method

The individual responses were logged on a property by property basis

The responses were categorised in terms of “Issues” in the following way

None, Minor or Issue – The text of the reply that led to that categorisation is captured in the spreadsheet (but not published here for confidentiality reasons) .

For those responses who cited Minor or Issues, the issues were registered, they fell into the following categories:

On Bends / Near Islands / At Entrances / At Junctions / On Pavements/ On Grass

Each reference to one of these issues was registered, therefore the total number of issues is greater than the number of respondents with issues. Again the text that identified the issue was captured in spreadsheet.

For those residents that had an issue (minor or otherwise) any action that they suggested was captured. In some cases NO action was specifically called for and this was logged. (It was assumed that any residents with no issues would advocate No Action).

The actions were classified to make it easier to see what was being suggested.

Summary Data

Number of Properties : 60

Number of responses : 38 (63% of Population)

Basic Classification Of Responses :

| Response | # Respondents | % of Respondents | % Population |
|-------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|
| No Issue | 21 | 55% | 35% |
| Minor Issue | 7 | 18% | 12% |
| Issue | 10 | 26% | 17% |

Issues Data

Of the 17 Respondents Citing Issues , there were 24 Issues, split as follows:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| On Bends | 4 |
| Near Islands | 3 |
| On Pavement/Greens | 5 |
| Entrances to Culdesacs/Drives | 7 |
| Junctions | 5 |

Actions Data

Of the 17 Respondents Offering Actions (Those with no issues who were deemed to advocate no action even if they did not specifically state it). The actions split into categories.

Negative actions

| | |
|---|---|
| Do Not introduce Permit/Clamping/Yellow Lines | 2 |
| Don't change the covenant | 1 |

No Action

| | |
|-----------|----|
| No Issue | 19 |
| No Action | 6 |

Self Discipline

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Cottages Use Their Spaces | 2 |
| Use Drives/Garages. | 1 |

Informal Reminders

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Reminder Letter | 3 |
|-----------------|---|

Informal Arrangements

| | |
|---|---|
| Certain areas Designated as where its ok to park. | 1 |
| Park on other side of the road from entrances | 1 |

Formal Changes

Markings/ Formal Parking

| | |
|--------------|---|
| Restrictions | 2 |
| New parking | 2 |